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## Introduction

Goal: estimate the complexity in time of algorithms

- Definitions
- Computing models
- Evaluation examples
- Notation


## Why?

- To estimate the time needed to process a given input
- To estimate the largest input computable in a reasonable time
- To compare the efficiency of different algorithms
- To optimize the most important part


## Complexity

The complexity of an algorithm can be defined as a function mapping the size of the input to the time required to get the result.

## We need to define:

1. How to measure the size of the input
2. How to measure time


## How to measure the size of inputs

## Uniform cost model

- The input size is equal to the number of elements composing it
- Example: minimum search in a list of $n$ elements

In some cases (e.g. factorial of a number) we need to consider how many bits we use to represent inputs

## Logarithmic cost model

- The input size is equal to the number of bits representing it
- Example: binary number multiplication of numbers of $n$ bits

In several cases...

- We can assume that the elements are represented by a constant number of bits
- The two measures are the same, apart from a constant multiplication factor


## Measuring time is trickier...

## Time $\equiv$ wall-clock time

The actual time used to complete an algorithm
It depends on too many parameters:

- how good is the programmer
- programming language
- code generated by the compiler/interpreter
- CPU, memory, hard-disk, etc.
- operating system, other processes currently running, etc.

We need a more abstract representation of time

## Random Access Model (RAM): time

Let's count the number of basic operations

What are basic operations?

## Time $\equiv$ number of basic instructions

An instruction is considered basic if it can be executed in constant time by the processor

## Basic

- $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a} * 2$ ? Yes (unless numbers have arbitrary precision)
- math.cos(d) ? Yes
- min(A) ? No (modern GPUs are highly parallel and can be constant)


## Example: minimum

Let's count the number of basic operations for min.

- Each statement requires a constant time to be executed (even len???)
- This constant may be different for each statement
- Each statement is executed a given number of times, function of $n$ (size of input).

```
def my_faster_min(S):
    min_so_far = S[0] #first element
    i=1
    while i < len(S):
        if S[i] < min_so_far:
            min_so_far = S[i]
        i= i +1
    return min_so_far
```


## Example: minimum

## Let's count the number of basic operations for min.

- Each statement requires a constant time to be executed (even len???)
- This constant may be different for each statement
- Each statement is executed a given number of times, function of $n$ (size of input).


## Cost Number of times

def my_faster_min(S):
min_so_far = S[0] \#first element c1
i = 1
while $\mathrm{i}<\operatorname{len}(\mathrm{S})$ :
if S[i] < min_so_far: min_so_far $=$ S[i]
$\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i}+1$
return min_so_far
c2 c3
c4
c5
c6
c7

1
1
n
$\mathrm{n}-1$
$\mathrm{n}-1$ (worst case)
n-1
1

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n}) & =c 1+\mathrm{c} 2+\mathrm{c} 3^{*} \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{c} 4^{*}(\mathrm{n}-1)+\mathrm{c} 5^{*}(\mathrm{n}-1)+\mathrm{c} 6^{*}(\mathrm{n}-1)+\mathrm{c} 7 \\
& =(\mathrm{c} 3+\mathrm{c} 4+\mathrm{c} 5+\mathrm{c} 6)^{*} \mathrm{n}+(\mathrm{c} 1+\mathrm{c} 2-\mathrm{c} 4-\mathrm{c} 5-\mathrm{c} 6+\mathrm{c} 7)=\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{b}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: lookup

Let's count the number of basic operations for lookup.

- The list is split in two parts: left size $L(n-1) / 2\rfloor$ right size $\operatorname{Ln} / 2\rfloor$

```
def lookup_rec(L, v, start,end):
    if end < start:
        return -1
    else:
        m = (start + end)//2
        if L[m] == v: #found!
            return m
        elif v < L[m]: #look to the left
            return lookup_rec(L, v, start, m-1)
        else: #look to the right
            return lookup_rec(L, v, m+1, end)
```


## Example: lookup

Let's count the number of basic operations for lookup.

- The list is split in two parts: left size $L(n-1) / 2\rfloor$ right size $L n / 2\rfloor$

Cost

```
def lookup_rec(L, v, start,end):
    if end < start:
        return -1
    else:
        m = (start + end)//2 c3
        if L[m] == v: #found! c4
            return m c5
        elif v < L[m]: #look to the left
            return lookup_rec(L, v, start, m-1) c7 + T(L(n-1)/2」)
        else: #look to the right
            return lookup_rec(L, v, m+1, end) c7+T(Ln/2J)c1c2
            c6
```

            Executed?
                \(\begin{array}{cc}\text { end }<\text { start } & \text { end } \geq \text { start } \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\)
        0
            0
            0
            0
            0
                            0
                            \(1 / 0\)
    Note: lookup_rec is not a basic operation!!!

## Lookup: recurrence relation

## Assumptions:

- For simplicity, n is a power of $2: \mathrm{n}=2^{\wedge} \mathrm{k}$
- The searched element is not present (worst case)
- At each call, we select the right part whose size is $n / 2$ (instead of (n-1)/2)
if start > end ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ ):

$$
T(n)=c_{1}+c_{2}=c
$$

if start $\leqslant$ end $(n>0)$ :

$$
T(n)=T(n / 2)+c_{1}+c_{3}+c_{4}+c_{6}+c_{7}=T(n / 2)+d
$$

Recurrence relation:

$$
T(n)= \begin{cases}c & n=0 \\ T(n / 2)+d & n \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

## Lookup: recurrence relation

$$
T(n)= \begin{cases}c & n=0 \\ T(n / 2)+d & n \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

Solution
Remember that: $\quad n=2^{k} \Rightarrow k=\log _{2} n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & =T(n / 2)+d \\
& =(T(n / 4)+d)+d=T(n / 4)+2 d \\
& =(T(n / 8)+d)+2 d=T(n / 8)+3 d \\
& \cdots \\
& =T(1)+k d \\
& =T(0)+(k+1) d \\
& =k d+(c+d) \\
& =d \log n+e .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Asymptotic notation

Complexity functions $\rightarrow$ "big-Oh" notation (omicron)

So far...

- Lookup: $T(n)=d \cdot \log n+e$
- Minimum: $\quad T(n)=a \cdot n+b$
- Naive Minimum: $T(n)=f \cdot n^{2}+g \cdot n+h$
logarithmic
linear
quadratic

we ignore the "less impacting" parts (like constants or n in naive, ...) and focus on the predominant ones


## Asymptotic notation

Complexity classes

| $f(n)$ | $n=10^{1}$ | $n=10^{2}$ | $n=10^{3}$ | $n=10^{4}$ | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\log n$ | 3 | 6 | 9 | 13 | logarithmic |
| $\sqrt{n}$ | 3 | 10 | 31 | 100 | sublinear |
| $n$ | 10 | 100 | 1000 | 10000 | linear |
| $n \log n$ | 30 | 664 | 9965 | 132877 | log-linear |
| $n^{2}$ | $10^{2}$ | $10^{4}$ | $10^{6}$ | $10^{8}$ | quadratic |
| $n^{3}$ | $10^{3}$ | $10^{6}$ | $10^{9}$ | $10^{12}$ | cubic |
| $2^{n}$ | 1024 | $10^{30}$ | $10^{300}$ | $10^{3000}$ | exponential |

Note: these are "trends" (we hide all constants that might have an impact for small inputs). For small inputs exponential algorithms might still be acceptable (especially if nothing better exists!)

## Asymptotic notation


[Miller, Ranum, Problem solving with Algorithms and Data structures]

## $\bigcirc, \Omega, \Theta$ notations

## Definition - $O$ notation

Let $g(n)$ be a cost function; $O(g(n))$ is the set of all functions $f(n)$ such that:

$$
\exists c>0, \exists m \geq 0: f(n) \leq c g(n), \forall n \geq m
$$

- How we read it: $f(n)$ is "big-Oh" of $g(n)$
- How we write it: $f(n)=O(g(n))$
- $g(n)$ is asymptotic upper bound for $f(n)$
- $f(n)$ grows at most as $g(n)$


## $\mathrm{O}, \Omega, \Theta$ notations

## Definition - $\Omega$ notation

Let $g(n)$ be a cost function; $\Omega(g(n))$ is the set of all functions $f(n)$ such that:

$$
\exists c>0, \exists m \geq 0: f(n) \geq c g(n), \forall n \geq m
$$

- How we read it: $f(n)$ is "big-omega" of $g(n)$
- How we write it: $f(n)=\Omega(g(n))$
- $g(n)$ is an asymptotic lower bound for $f(n)$
- $f(n)$ grows at least as $g(n)$


## $\bigcirc, \Omega, \Theta$ notations

## Definition - Notation $\Theta$

Let $g(n)$ be a cost function; $\Theta(g(n))$ is the set of all functions $f(n)$ such that:

$$
\exists c_{1}>0, \exists c_{2}>0, \exists m \geq 0: c_{1} g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_{2} g(n), \forall n \geq m
$$

- How we read it: $f(n)$ is "theta" of $g(n)$
- How we write it: $f(n)=\Theta(g(n))$
- $f(n)$ grows as $g(n)$
- $f(n)=\Theta(g(n))$ iff $f(n)=O(g(n))$ and $f(n)=\Omega(g(n))$


## $\bigcirc, \Omega, \Theta$ notations



## $\mathrm{O}, \Omega, \Theta$ notations



## Exercise: True or False?

$$
f(n)=10 n^{3}+2 n^{2}+7 \stackrel{?}{=} O\left(n^{3}\right)
$$

We need to prove that (i.e. find a c and $m$ such that):

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl} 
& \exists c>0, \exists m \geq 0: f(n) \leq c \cdot n^{3}, \forall n \geq m & \\
& & \\
f(n) & =10 n^{3}+2 n^{2}+7 & \\
& \leq 10 n^{3}+2 n^{3}+7 & & \\
& \leq 10 n^{3}+2 n^{3}+7 n^{3} & \forall n \geq 0 \\
& =19 n^{3} & \\
& ? c n^{3} &
\end{array}
$$

which is true for each $c \geq 19$ and for each $n \geq 1$, thus $m=1$.

In graphical terms

$$
f(n)=10 n^{3}+2 n^{2}+7
$$



## Exercise: True or False?

$$
f(n)=3 n^{2}+7 n \stackrel{?}{=} \Theta\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

We need to prove that (i.e. find ac and m such that):

$$
\exists c_{1}>0, \exists m_{1} \geq 0: f(n) \geq c_{1} \cdot n^{2}, \forall n \geq m_{1} \quad \text { lower bound ( } \Omega \text { ) }
$$

and that

$$
\exists c_{2}>0, \exists m_{2} \geq 0: f(n) \leq c_{2} \cdot n^{2}, \forall n \geq m_{2} \quad \text { upper bound (O) }
$$

## Exercise: True or False?

$$
f(n)=3 n^{2}+7 n \stackrel{?}{=} \Theta\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

We need to prove that (i.e. find a c and $m$ such that):

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\exists c_{1} & >0, \exists m_{1} \geq 0: f(n) \geq c_{1} \cdot n^{2}, \forall n \geq m_{1} & & \text { lower bound ( } \Omega \text { ) } \\
& & \\
f(n) & =3 n^{2}+7 n & & \\
& \geq 3 n^{2} & & n \geq 0 \\
& ? &
\end{array}
$$

which is true for each $c_{1} \leq 3$ and for each $n \geq 0$, thus $m_{1}=0$

## Exercise: True or False?

$$
f(n)=3 n^{2}+7 n \stackrel{?}{=} \Theta\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

We need to prove that (i.e. find a c and $m$ such that):

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\exists c_{2}> & 0, \exists m_{2} \geq 0: f(n) \leq c_{2} \cdot n^{2}, \forall n \geq m_{2} \quad \text { upper bound (O) } \\
& \\
f(n) & =3 n^{2}+7 n & \\
& \leq 3 n^{2}+7 n^{2} & \\
& =10 n^{2} & \\
& \stackrel{?}{\leq} c_{2} n^{2} &
\end{array}
$$

which is true for each $c_{2} \geq 10$ and for all $n \geq 1$, hence $m_{2}=1$.

$$
f(n)=O\left(n^{\wedge} 2\right)
$$

$$
f(n)=3 n^{2}+7 n=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

In graphical terms: $3 n^{\wedge} 2+7 n$ is $\Theta\left(n^{\wedge} 2\right)$


## True or False?

$$
n^{2} \stackrel{?}{=} O(n)
$$

We want to prove that $\exists c>0, \exists m>0: n^{2} \leq c n, \forall n \geq m$

- We get this: $n^{2} \leq c n \Leftrightarrow c \geq n$
- This means that $c$ should grow with $n$, i.e. we cannot choose a constant $c$ valid for all $n \geq m$

$$
n^{2} \neq O(n)
$$

## True or False?

$$
n^{2} \neq O(n)
$$



## Properties

## Polynomial expressions

$$
f(n)=a_{k} n^{k}+a_{k-1} n^{k-1}+\ldots a_{1} n+a_{0}, a_{k}>0 \Rightarrow f(n)=\Theta\left(n^{k}\right)
$$

## Constant elimination

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(n)=O(g(n)) \Leftrightarrow a f(n)=O(g(n)), \forall a>0 \\
& f(n)=\Omega(g(n)) \Leftrightarrow a f(n)=\Omega(g(n)), \forall a>0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Meaning:

- We only care about the highest degree of the polynomial
- Multiplicative constants, do not change the asymptotic complexity (e.g. constants costs due to language, technical implementation,...)


## Properties

## Sums

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}(n)=O\left(g_{1}(n)\right), f_{2}(n)=O\left(g_{2}(n)\right) \Rightarrow \\
f_{1}(n)+f_{2}(n)=O\left(\max \left(g_{1}(n), g_{2}(n)\right)\right) \\
f_{1}(n)=\Omega\left(g_{1}(n)\right), f_{2}(n)=\Omega\left(g_{2}(n)\right) \Rightarrow \\
f_{1}(n)+f_{2}(n)=\Omega\left(\min \left(g_{1}(n), g_{2}(n)\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Relation with algorithm analysis

- If an algorithm is composed by two parts, one which is $\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ and one which $\Theta(n)$, the resulting complexity is $\Theta\left(n^{2}+n\right)=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$

We only care about the "computationally more expensive" part to solve of the algorithm.
$O(n \cdot \log n+n)=O(n \cdot \log n)$

## Properties

## Products

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(n)=O\left(g_{1}(n)\right), f_{2}(n)=O\left(g_{2}(n)\right) \Rightarrow f_{1}(n) \cdot f_{2}(n)=O\left(g_{1}(n) \cdot g_{2}(n)\right) \\
& f_{1}(n)=\Omega\left(g_{1}(n)\right), f_{2}(n)=\Omega\left(g_{2}(n)\right) \Rightarrow f_{1}(n) \cdot f_{2}(n)=\Omega\left(g_{1}(n) \cdot g_{2}(n)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Relation with algorithm analysis

- If algorithm $A$ calls algorithm $B n$ times, and the complexity of algorithm $B$ is $\Theta(n \log n)$, the resulting complexity is $\Theta\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$.
for $i$ in range( $n$ ):
call_to_function_that_is_n^2_log_n()


## Classification

Is it possible to create a total order between the main function classes.
For each $0<r<s, 0<h<k, 1<a<b$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O(1) \subset O\left(\log ^{r} n\right) \subset O\left(\log ^{s} n\right) \subset O\left(n^{h}\right) \subset O\left(n^{h} \log ^{r} n\right) \subset \\
& O\left(n^{h} \log ^{s} n\right) \subset O\left(n^{k}\right) \subset O\left(a^{n}\right) \subset O\left(b^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Examples:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O(\log n) \subset O(\sqrt[3]{n}) \subset O(\sqrt{n}) \\
& O\left(2^{n+1}\right)=O\left(2 \cdot 2^{n}\right)=O\left(2^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

No matter the exponent, $(\log n)^{\wedge} r$ will always be better than $\mathbf{n}$ )...
Same thing for $\mathbf{n} \log \mathbf{n}$ vs $\mathbf{n}$ etc...

## Complexity of maxsum: $\Theta\left(\mathrm{n}^{\wedge} 3\right)$

```
def max_sum_v1(A):
    max so \overline{far = 0}
    N = - len (A)
    for i in range(N):
        for j in range(i,N):
            tmp_sum = sum (A[i:j+1])
            max_so_far = max(tmp_sum, max_so_far)
```

        Intuitively:
    we perform two loops of length N
    one into the other \(\rightarrow \operatorname{cost} \mathrm{N}^{\wedge} 2\)
    sum is not a basic operation (cost N ):
    return max_so_far
    overall cost \(\mathrm{N}^{\wedge} 3\)
    The complexity of this algorithm can be approximated as follows (we are counting the number of sums that are executed).

$$
T(n)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1}(j-i+1)
$$

We want to prove that $T(n)=\theta\left(n^{3}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\exists c_{1}, c_{2}>0, \exists m \geq 0: c_{1} n^{3} \leq T(n) \leq c_{2} n^{3}, \forall n \geq m
$$

## Complexity of maxsum: $O\left(\mathrm{n}^{\wedge} 3\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & =\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1}(j-i+1) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} n \\
& \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} n \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} n^{2} \\
& \leq n^{3} \leq c_{2} n^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality is true for $n \geq m=0$ and $c_{2} \geq 1$.
$\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{\wedge} 3\right)$

## Complexity of maxsum: $\Omega\left(\mathrm{n}^{\wedge} 3\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & =\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1}(j-i+1) \\
& \geq \sum_{i=0}^{n / 2} \sum_{j=i}^{i+n / 2-1}(j-i+1) \\
& \geq \sum_{i=0}^{n / 2} \sum_{j=i}^{i+n / 2-1} n / 2 \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{n / 2} n^{2} / 4 \geq n^{3} / 8 \geq c_{1} n^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality is true for $n \geq m=0$ and $c_{1} \leq 1 / 8$

## $\Omega\left(n^{\wedge} 3\right)$

## Complexity of maxsum -version $2: \Omega\left(\mathrm{n}^{\wedge} 2\right)$

```
def max_sum_v2(A):
    N = len(A)
    max_so_far = 0
    for i in range(N):
        tot = 0 #ACCUMULATOR!
        for j in range(i,N):
            tot = tot + A[j]
            max_so_far = max(max_so_far, tot)
    return max_so_far
```

The complexity of this algorithm can be approximated as follows (we are counting the number of sums that are executed).

$$
T(n)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} n-i
$$

## Complexity of maxsum -version $2: \Theta\left(n^{\wedge} 2\right)$

We want to prove that $T(n)=\theta\left(n^{2}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(n) & =\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} n-i \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} i \\
& =\frac{n(n+1)}{2}=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This does not require further proofs.

## Complexity of maxsum -version 4: $\Theta(\mathrm{n})$

```
def max_sum_v4(A):
    max_so far = 0 #Max found so far
    max_here = 0 #Max slice ending at cur pos
    for i in range(len(A)):
        max_here = max(A[i] + max_here, 0)
        max_so_far = max(max_so_fār, max_here)
    return max_so_far
```



This is rather easy!
Constant operations (sum and max of 2 numbers) performed $n$ times

Complexity is $\Theta(\mathrm{n})$

## Complexity of maxsum -version 3

```
from itertools import accumulate
def max_sum_v3_rec_bis(A,i,j):
    if i == j:
            return max(0,A[i])
    m=(i+j)//2
    maxL = max_sum_v3_rec_bis(A,i,m)
    maxR = max_sum_v3_rec_bis(A, m+1, j)
    maxML = max (accumulatē (A[m:-len (A) + i -1: -1]))
    maxMR = max(accumulate (A[m+1:j+1]))
    return max(maxL, maxR, maxML+ maxMR)
def max_sum_v3(A):
    retürn max_sum_v3_rec_bis(A,0,len(A) - 1)
```

Recursive algorithm, recurrence relation

Bear with me a minute. We will get back to this later...!

## Recurrences

## Recurrence equations

Whenever the complexity of a recursive algorithm is computed, this is expressed through recurrence equation, i.e. a mathematical formula defined in a... recursive way!

## Example

$$
T(n)= \begin{cases}2 T(n / 2)+n & n>1 \\ \Theta(1) & n \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

## Recurrences

## Closed formulas

Our goal is to obtain, whenever possible, a closed formula that represents the complexity class of our function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Example } \\
& \qquad T(n)=\Theta(n \log n)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Master Theorem

## Theorem

Let $a$ and $b$ two integer constants such that $a \geq 1$ e $b \geq 2$, and let $c, \beta$ be two real constants such that $c>0$ e $\beta \geq 0$. Let $T(n)$ be defined by the following recurrence:

$$
T(n)= \begin{cases}a T(n / b)+c n^{\beta} & n>1 \\ \Theta(1) & n \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Given $\alpha=\log a / \log b=\log _{b} a$, then:

$$
T(n)= \begin{cases}\Theta\left(n^{\alpha}\right) & \alpha>\beta \\ \Theta\left(n^{\alpha} \log n\right) & \alpha=\beta \\ \Theta\left(n^{\beta}\right) & \alpha<\beta\end{cases}
$$

Note: the schema covers cases when input of size $\mathbf{n}$ is split in $\mathbf{b}$ sub-problems, to get the solution the algorithm is applied recursively a times. $\mathbf{c n}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is the cost of the algorithm after the recursive steps.

## Examples

Algo: splits the input in two, applies the procedure recursively 4 times and has a linear cost to assemble the solution at the end.

## Theorem

Let $a$ and $b$ two integer constants such that $a \geq 1$ e $b \geq 2$, and let $c, \beta$ be two real constants such that $c>0$ e $\beta \geq 0$. Let $T(n)$ be defined by the following recurrence:

$$
T(n)= \begin{cases}a T(n / b)+c n^{\beta} & n>1 \\ \Theta(1) & n \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Given $\alpha=\log a / \log b=\log _{b} a$, then:

$$
T(n)= \begin{cases}\Theta\left(n^{\alpha}\right) & \alpha>\beta \\ \Theta\left(n^{\alpha} \log n\right) & \alpha=\beta \\ \Theta\left(n^{\beta}\right) & \alpha<\beta\end{cases}
$$

| Recurrence | $\mathbf{a}$ | $\mathbf{b}$ | $\log _{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{a}$ | Case | Function |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T(n)=4 T(n / 2)+n$ | 4 | 2 | 2 | $(1)$ | $T(n)=\Theta\left(n^{2}\right)$ |
| $T(n)=3 T(n / 2)+n$ | 3 | 2 | $\log _{2} 3$ | $(1)$ | $T(n)=\Theta\left(n^{\log _{2} 3}\right)$ |
| $T(n)=2 T(n / 2)+n$ | 2 | 2 | 1 | $(2)$ | $T(n)=\Theta(n \log n)$ |
| $T(n)=T(n / 2)+1$ | 1 | 2 | 0 | $(2)$ | $T(n)=\Theta(\log n)$ |
| $T(n)=9 T(n / 3)+n^{3}$ | 9 | 3 | 2 | $(3)$ | $T(n)=\Theta\left(n^{3}\right)$ |

Note: the schema covers cases when input of size $\mathbf{n}$ is split in $\mathbf{b}$ sub-problems, to get the solution the algorithm is applied recursively a times. $\mathbf{c n}^{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ is the cost of the algorithm after the recursive steps.

## maxsum - version 3

```
from itertools import accumulate
def max_sum_v3_rec_bis(A,i,j):
    if \overline{i}==-}\mathbf{j}
            return max(0,A[i])
    m=(i+j)//2
    maxL = max_sum_v3_rec_bis(A,i,m)
    maxR = max_sum_v3_rec_bis(A, m+1, j)
    maxML = max}(\operatorname{acc
    maxMR = max(accumulate(A[m+1:j+1]))
    return max(maxL, maxR, maxML+ maxMR)
def max_sum_v3(A):
    retürn max_sum_v3_rec_bis(A,0,len(A) - 1)
```

For this, we need to define a recurrence relation:

$$
T(n)=2 T(n / 2)+c n
$$

The algorithm splits the input in two "equally-sized" sub-problems ( $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{j} / / \mathbf{2}$ ) and applies itself recursively 2 times.
The accumulate after the recursive part is linear cn.

## maxsum - version 3

```
from itertools import accumulate
def max_sum_v3_rec_bis(A,i,j):
    if \overline{i}==-
            return max(0,A[i])
    m=(i+j)//2
    maxL = max_sum_v3_rec_bis(A,i,m)
    maxR = max_sum_v3_rec_bis(A, m+1, j)
    maxML = max (ac\overline{cumulate}(A[m:-len(A) + i -1: -1]))
    maxMR = max(accumulate (A[m+1:j+1]))
    return max(maxL, maxR, maxML+ maxMR)
def max_sum_v3(A):
    retürn max_sum_v3_rec_bis(A,0,len(A) - 1)
```

For this, we need to define a recurrence relation:

$$
T(n)=2 T(n / 2)+c n
$$

## Theorem

Let $a$ and $b$ two integer constants such that $a \geq 1 \mathrm{e} b \geq 2$, and let $c, \beta$ be two real constants such that $c>0$ e $\beta \geq 0$. Let $T(n)$ be defined by the following recurrence:

$$
T(n)= \begin{cases}a T(n / b)+c n^{\beta} & n>1 \\ \Theta(1) & n \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Given $\alpha=\log a / \log b=\log _{b} a$, then:

$$
T(n)= \begin{cases}\Theta\left(n^{\alpha}\right) & \alpha>\beta \\ \Theta\left(n^{\alpha} \log n\right) & \alpha=\beta \\ \Theta\left(n^{\beta}\right) & \alpha<\beta\end{cases}
$$



$$
\alpha=\log _{2} 2=1 \text { and } \beta=1
$$

$$
T(n)=\Theta(n \log n)
$$

